

WEIGHTED NORM INEQUALITIES FOR THE CONVOLUTION OPERATORS

A.D. Nakhman

*Department «Applied Mathematics and Mechanics», TSTU;
alexmb@mail.ru*

Represented by a Member of the Editorial Board Professor G.M. Kulikov

Key words and phrases: A_p -condition; estimates for the convolution operators; the generalized Poisson integral; Steklov integral means.

Abstract: Weighted norm inequalities for Steklov integral means $f_h(x)$ and for the set of convolution operators are obtained. The base of results is a relation

$$|(f * K)(x)| \leq C \int_{[0, \pi]^N} K^*(h) f_{4h}(x) dh,$$

where an even kernel $K(x)$ possesses on $[0, \pi]^N$ nonincreasing on each variable majorant $K^*(x)$.

1. Introduction

Let x, t, h, \dots be points of real N -dimensional space and functions $f = f(x)$, $u = u(x) \geq 0$, $v = v(x) \geq 0$ are measurable on the square $Q_N = (-\pi, \pi]^N$ and 2π -periodic on every variable x_j , $j = 1, 2, \dots, N$. Define

$$A_p(u, v; \Omega) = \left(\frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_{\Omega} u(t) dt \right) \left(\frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_{\Omega} v^{-1/(p-1)}(t) dt \right)^{p-1}, \quad p > 1$$

and

$$A_1(u, v; \Omega) = \left(\frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_{\Omega} u(t) dt \right) \operatorname{esssup}_{t \in \Omega} \frac{1}{v(t)}$$

for some rectangle $\Omega = \otimes_{j=1}^N [a_j, b_j]$. In the present paper we study a problem of the boundedness of Steklov integral means

$$f_h(x) = |\Omega(h)|^{-1} \int_{\Omega(h)} |f(x+t)| dt$$

(over rectangles $\Omega(h) = \otimes_{j=1}^N [-h_j, h_j]$) and the set of convolution operators

$$(f * K_{\varepsilon})(x) = \int_{Q^N} f(t) K_{\varepsilon}(x-t) dt,$$

from $L_v^p(Q^N)$ in $L_u^p(Q^N)$.

Our results prolong the researches of [1], where B. Muckenhoupt obtained (for $N = 1$) the necessity and sufficiency of the condition

$$\sup_{\Omega} A_p(u, v; \Omega) < \infty \quad (1.1)$$

(so-called A_p -condition) for the boundedness of Poisson integral as operator from $L_v^p(Q^1)$ in $L_u^p(Q^1)$, $p \geq 1$.

Let $0 \cdot \infty$ will equal 0 and C will represent a constant, though not necessarily one such constant.

2. Steklov integral means

The following statement extends one of the results [2].

Theorem 1. If $p \geq 1$, then the statements (1.1) and

$$\sup_h \int_{Q^N} (f_h(x))^p u(x) dx \leq C \int_{Q^N} |f(x)|^p v(x) dx \quad (2.1)$$

(where C depends on u, v, p, N) are equivalent.

Proof. For the sufficiency of A_p condition (1.1) we proof firstly the inequality

$$\sup_h \int_{Q^N} (f_h(x))^p u(x) dx \leq C \left(\sup_{\Omega} A_p(u, v; \Omega) \right) \int_{Q^N} |f(x)|^p v(x) dx, \quad p \geq 1, \quad (2.2)$$

where C depends on p and N only; the case of $N = 1$ was proved by B. Muckenhoupt (see [1]). Assume $f \in L_v^p(Q^N)$ (i.e. the right part of (2.2) is finite), otherwise (2.2) is trivial.

Except the trivial cases of $u(x) = 0$ almost everywhere in Q^N and

$$\int_{\Omega} v^{-1/(p-1)}(x) dx = \infty$$

(for some Ω), since in this case $v(x) = 0$ for almost every $x \in \Omega$, and then (see (1.1)) the relation $u(x) = 0$ holds almost everywhere in Q^N .

It is possible to be restricted of a case $0 < h_j \leq \pi, j = 1, \dots, N$ only; otherwise (let, for example, $j = 1$) there exists natural number l , such as $l\pi \leq h_1 < (l+1)\pi$ and

$$\frac{1}{h_1} \int_{-h_1}^{h_1} \dots \int_{-h_N}^{h_N} |f(x+t)| dt \leq \frac{1}{l\pi} \int_{-(l+1)\pi}^{(l+1)\pi} \dots \int_{-h_N}^{h_N} |f(x+t)| dt = \frac{l+1}{l} f_{\pi, \dots, h_N}(x).$$

Let's assume

$$\Omega_k(h) = \otimes_{j=1}^N [k_j h_j, (k_j + 1)h_j], \quad \Omega'_k(h) = \otimes_{j=1}^N [(k_j - 1)h_j, (k_j + 2)h_j]$$

and consider natural numbers P_j , such that $\pi/h_j \leq P_j < \pi/h_j + 1, j = 1, \dots, N$. If $p > 1$, then by Holder's inequality we have for each h

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{Q^N} (f_h(x))^p u(x) dx \leq \\ & \leq \sum_{|k_1| \leq P_1} \dots \sum_{|k_N| \leq P_N} \int_{\Omega_k(h)} \left(\frac{1}{|\Omega(h)|} \int_{x+\Omega(h)} |f(t)| dt \right)^p u(x) dx \leq \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \sum_{|k_1| \leq P_1} \dots \sum_{|k_N| \leq P_N} \left(\frac{1}{|\Omega(h)|} \right)^p \left(\int_{\Omega_k(h)} u(x) dx \right) \left(\int_{\Omega_{k'}(h)} |f(t)| v^{1/p} v^{-1/p} dt \right)^p \leq \\
&\leq C \sum_{|k_1| \leq P_1} \dots \sum_{|k_N| \leq P_N} \left(\frac{1}{|\Omega'_k(h)|} \int_{\Omega'_k(h)} u(x) dx \right) \left(\frac{1}{|\Omega'_{k'}(h)|} \int_{\Omega'_{k'}(h)} v^{-1/(p-1)}(x) dx \right)^{p-1} \times \\
&\quad \times \int_{\Omega'_{k'}(h)} |f(t)|^p v(t) dt \leq \\
&\leq C \left(\sup_{\Omega} A_p(u, v; \Omega) \right) \sum_{|k_1| \leq P_1} \dots \sum_{|k_N| \leq P_N} \int_{\Omega_{k'}(h)} |f(t)|^p v(t) dt. \quad (2.3)
\end{aligned}$$

Since all intervals $[(k_j - 1)h_j, (k_j + 2)h_j]$ are subset of $[-4\pi, 4\pi]$, and no point is in more than three of these intervals, the inequality (2.2) follows from (2.3) for $p > 1$. Replacing

$$\left(\int_{\Omega_k(h)} v^{-1/(p-1)}(x) dx \right)^{p-1}$$

by

$$\operatorname{esssup}_{t \in \Omega} \frac{1}{v(t)}$$

in the above-stated reasonings, we obtain (2.2) in the case $p = 1$.

For the necessity of the A_p -condition we use arguments of [1]. Denote

$$V = \int_{\Omega} v^{-1/(p-1)}(x) dx$$

for $p > 1$ and choose some rectangle $\Omega = \otimes_{j=1}^N [a_j, b_j]$, where the length of each side $b_j - a_j \leq 2\pi$; the case $b_j - a_j > 2\pi$ for some j one can deduce exactly in the same way, as above. So, there exists some vector b^0 , such that $Q_0^N = b^0 + Q^N$ and $\Omega \subset Q_0^N$. If $V = 0$, then (1.1) is true. In the cases of $V = \infty$ and $0 < V < \infty$ denote $\eta_j = (b_j - a_j)/2$, $j = 1, \dots, N$ and represent Ω in the form $\Omega = \otimes_{j=1}^N [\alpha_j - \eta_j, \alpha_j + \eta_j]$, where α_j are the centres of appropriate intervals. Then $\Omega \subset x + \Omega(2\eta)$ for all $x \in \Omega$ and

$$f_{2\eta}(x) = |\Omega(2\eta)|^{-1} \int_{x+\Omega(2\eta)} |f(t)| dt \geq 2^{-N} |\Omega|^{-1} \int_{\Omega} |f(t)| dt.$$

If $V = \infty, v^{-1/p}$ is not in $L^{p'}(\Omega)$, where $p' = p/(p-1)$. So there is a function $g \in L^p(\Omega)$, and $g = 0$ on $Q_0^N \setminus \Omega$, such that

$$\int_{\Omega} g v^{-1/p} dx = \infty. \quad (2.4)$$

Let $f = g v^{-1/p}$. Since $f^p v = g^p$, $f^p v$ is integrable on Ω while for $x \in \Omega$ the relation $f_{2\eta}(x) = \infty$ holds by (2.4). Therefore, (2.1) implies that $u = 0$ almost everywhere in and (1.1) is true.

If $0 < V < \infty$, let $f = v^{-1/(p-1)}\chi_{\Omega}$; here $\chi_{\Omega} = \chi_{\Omega}(x)$ is a characteristic set function. It follows from (2.4) that

$$\sup_h \int_{Q^N} (f_h(x))^p u(x) dx \geq \left(2^{-N} \frac{V}{|\Omega|} \right)^p \int_{\Omega} u dx,$$

and we have from (2.1)

$$\left(\frac{V}{|\Omega|} \right)^p \int_{\Omega} u dx \leq C \int_{\Omega} v^{-p/(p-1)} v dx. \quad (2.5)$$

Multiplying both sides of (2.5) by V^{-1} proves (1.1) for $p > 1$.

Let $p = 1$ now. For $\text{essinf}_{x \in \Omega} v(x) = \infty$ the relation (1.1) is obvious; otherwise for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a measurable $E \subset \Omega$, $|E| > 0$ such that $v(x) < \varepsilon + \text{essinf}_{x \in \Omega} v(x)$ for all $x \in E$. Define $f = 1$ on Ω and $f = 0$, $x \in Q_0^N \setminus \Omega$. Then

$$\sup_h \int_{Q^N} f_h(x) u(x) dx \geq \frac{1}{|\Omega(2\eta)|} \int_{x+\Omega(2\eta)} |f(t)| dt \geq 2^{-N} \frac{1}{|\Omega|} |E|$$

for all $x \in \Omega$ and we have from (2.1)

$$\frac{1}{|\Omega|} |E| \int_{\Omega} u dx \leq C |E| (\varepsilon + \text{essinf}_{x \in \Omega} v(x)). \quad (2.6)$$

Since ε is an arbitrary, the A_1 -condition follows from (2.6).

3. Estimates for the convolution operators

Let now $K(x)$ be a measurable even function, possessing even nonincreasing till each variable $x_j \in [0, \pi]$ and 2π -periodic (on each variable) majorant $K^* = K^*(x)$, i.e. $|K(x)| \leq |K^*(x)|$, $x \in Q^N$.

Theorem 2. The relation

$$|(f * K)(x)| \leq C \int_{[0, \pi]^N} K^*(h) f_{4h}(x) dh$$

holds for every x ; the constant C depends on N only.

Proof. By the evenness of $K^*(x)$ it is sufficient to obtain the upper estimate for

$$J_{\delta}(x) = \int_{[\delta, \pi]^N} |f(x+t)| K^*(t) dt,$$

where $0 < \delta \leq \pi$. Fix some j and consider

$$\int_{\delta}^{\pi} |f(\dots, x_j + t_j, \dots)| K^*(\dots, t_j, \dots) dt_j. \quad (3.1)$$

Denote $\chi = x_j, \tau = t_j$, and define natural number T such that

$$\log_2 \pi / \delta \leq T < 1 + \log_2 \pi / \delta.$$

Since $K^*(\dots, \tau, \dots)$ is nonincreasing on a variable τ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\delta}^{\pi} |f(\dots, \chi + \tau, \dots)| K^*(\dots, \tau, \dots) d\tau &\leq \sum_{v=1}^{T-1} \int_{2^{v-1}\delta}^{2^v\delta} |f(\dots, \chi + \tau, \dots)| K^*(\dots, \tau, \dots) d\tau + \\ &+ \int_{2^{T-1}\delta}^{\pi} |f(\dots, \chi + \tau, \dots)| K^*(\dots, \tau, \dots) d\tau \leq \\ &\leq \sum_{v=1}^T K^*(\dots, 2^{v-1}\delta, \dots) \int_0^{2^v\delta} |f(\dots, \chi + \tau, \dots)| d\tau. \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

Denote

$$\Phi_{\chi}(r) = \int_0^r |f(\dots, \chi + \tau, \dots)| d\tau. \quad (3.3)$$

Then the integral (3.1) is no more (see (3.2)) than

$$2 \sum_{v=1}^T \frac{K^*\left(\dots, \frac{1}{2}2^v\delta, \dots\right)}{2^v\delta} \Phi_{\chi}(2^v\delta)(2^v\delta - 2^{v-1}\delta).$$

Now

$$\frac{K^*\left(\dots, \frac{1}{2}r, \dots\right)}{r} \Phi_{\chi}(2r), \quad r \in [\delta, \pi]$$

is continuous function and we can obtain the following relation from a theorem of the mean value :

$$\int_{2^{v-1}\delta}^{2^v\delta} \frac{K^*\left(\dots, \frac{1}{2}r, \dots\right)}{r} \Phi_{\chi}(2r) dr = \frac{K^*\left(\dots, \frac{1}{2}r_v, \dots\right)}{r_v} \Phi_{\chi}(2r_v)(2^v\delta - 2^{v-1}\delta), \quad (3.4)$$

where $r_v \in (2^{v-1}\delta, 2^v\delta)$. Since $2r_v \geq 2^v\delta$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{K^*\left(\dots, \frac{1}{2}r_v, \dots\right)}{r_v} \Phi_{\chi}(2r_v)(2^v\delta - 2^{v-1}\delta) \leq \\ &\geq \frac{K^*\left(\dots, \frac{1}{2}2^v\delta, \dots\right)}{2^v\delta} \Phi_{\chi}(2^v\delta)(2^v\delta - 2^{v-1}\delta). \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

According to relations (3.2), (3.3), (3.5), (3.4) the left part of (3.2) is no more, then

$$\begin{aligned} &C \sum_{v=1}^T \int_{2^{v-1}\delta}^{2^v\delta} \frac{K^*\left(\dots, \frac{1}{2}r, \dots\right)}{r} \Phi_{\chi}(2r) dr \leq \\ &\leq C \int_0^{2\pi} K^*\left(\dots, \frac{1}{2}r, \dots\right) \left(\frac{1}{2r} \int_0^{2r} |f(\dots, \chi + \tau, \dots)| d\tau \right) dr. \end{aligned}$$

Fulfilling sequentially the indicated estimations for integrals of the type (3.1) on each variable, we obtain

$$J_{\delta}(x) \leq C \int_{[0, 2\pi]^N} K^*\left(\frac{1}{2}h\right) f_{2h}(x) dh = C \int_{[0, \pi]^N} K^*(h) f_{4h}(x) dh. \quad (3.6)$$

The assertion of theorem follows from (3.6) because δ is arbitrary.

Let the kernels $K_m(x)$, $m \in Z_+^N$, and their majorants $K_m^* = K_m^*(x)$ satisfy the above-stated conditions.

The inequality

$$\int_{Q^N} |(f * K_m)(x)|^p u(x) dx \leq C \left(\int_{[0, \pi]^N} K_m^*(t) dt \right) \left(\sup_h A_p(u, v; h) \right) \int_{Q^N} |f(x)|^p v(x) dx \quad (3.7)$$

holds for every $p \geq 1$; the constant C depends on p and N only. This follows immediately from Theorems 1 (see (2.1)) and 2 and Minkowski integral inequality.

Note, that a sequence of linear means of multiple Fourier sums possesses the integral form just of $f * K_m = (f * K_m)(x)$.

4. Weighted norm inequalities for some linear means of multiple Fourier series

Let now $\{K_\varepsilon\}$ be a set of integral kernels, possessing the properties of p. 3 and for each Ω there exists $\varepsilon = \{\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_N\}$, $\varepsilon_j > 0$, $j = 1, \dots, N$ such as

$$K_\varepsilon(x-t) \geq C/|\Omega|; \quad x, t \in \Omega. \quad (4.1)$$

Let

$$\sup_\varepsilon \int_{[0, \pi]^N} K_\varepsilon^*(x) dx < \infty \quad (4.2)$$

for the the sequence of corresponding majorants $K_\varepsilon^*(x)$. Define

$$\sigma_\varepsilon(f, x) = (f * K_\varepsilon)(x).$$

Theorem 3. If the relations (4.1), (4.2) hold, then the statements (1.1) and

$$\sup_\varepsilon \int_{Q^N} |\sigma_\varepsilon(f, x)|^p u(x) dx \leq C \int_{Q^N} |f(x)|^p v(x) dx$$

(where C depends on u, v, p, N) are equivalent for every $p \geq 1$.

Proof. For the sufficiency of A_p condition (1.1) we use the results (3.7) and (4.2). The necessity of the A_p -condition may be deduce by using (4.1) and choosing f such as in the prove of Theorem 1.

The set of integral kernels

$$K_\varepsilon(t) = K_{\varepsilon, \alpha}(t) = \pi^{-N} \prod_{j=1}^N K_{\varepsilon_j, \alpha_j}(t_j), \quad 0 < \alpha_j \leq 1, \quad j = 1, \dots, N,$$

where

$$K_{\varepsilon, \beta}(\tau) = \frac{1}{2} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \exp(-\zeta k^\beta) \cos k \tau,$$

generates the convolution operators of the generalized Poisson type. This set satisfies to conditons (4.2) and (4.1). The validity of (4.2) follows from the representation

$$K_{\zeta, \beta}(\tau) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \Delta^2 \exp(-\zeta k^\beta) \frac{\sin^2(k+1) \frac{\tau}{2}}{2 \sin^2 \frac{\tau}{2}}$$

and the property of convexity of sequence $\lambda_k^{\zeta, \beta} = \exp(-\zeta k^\beta)$.

For each $\tau \in I$, $|I| \leq 2\pi$ the relation (4.1) follows from the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} K_{\zeta, \beta}(\tau) &\geq C m^2 \sum_{k=m}^{2m-1} \Delta^2 \exp(-\zeta k^\beta) = \\ &= C m^2 (\Delta \exp(-\zeta m^\beta) - \Delta \exp(-\zeta (2m)^\beta)) \geq C m (\zeta m^\beta), \end{aligned}$$

if we choose $m = \left\lceil \frac{\pi}{2|I|} \right\rceil$ and $\zeta = m^{-\beta}$, $0 < \beta \leq 1$; the constant $C > 0$ depends on β only.

Hence the assertion of Theorem 3 remains valid for the set of convolutions $\sigma_{\varepsilon, \alpha}(f, x) = (f * K_{\varepsilon, \alpha})(x)$.

Note, that the such type statement remains valid for some class of the linear means of multiple Fourier sums over oblique parallelepipeds.

References

1. Muckenhoupt, B. Two weight function norm inequalities for the Poisson integral / B. Muckenhoupt // Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. – 1975. – V. 210. – P. 225–231.
2. Nakhman, A. Rosenblum-Muckenhoupt theorems for multiple Fourier series of vector-valued functions / A. Nakhman // Izvestia vuzov. Matematika. – 1984. – № 4. – P. 25–32.

Оценки весовых норм операторов свертки

А.Д. Нахман

*Кафедра «Прикладная математика и механика», ГОУ ВПО «ТГТУ»;
alexmb@mail.ru*

Ключевые слова и фразы: A_p -условие; интегральные средние Стеклова; обобщенный интеграл Пуассона; оценки операторов свертки.

Аннотация: Получены оценки весовых норм интегральных средних Стеклова $f_h(x)$ и операторов свертки. Результаты основаны на оценке

$$|(f * K)(x)| \leq C \int_{[0, \pi]^N} K^*(h) f_{4h}(x) dh,$$

где четное ядро $K(x)$ обладает на $[0, \pi]^N$ невозрастающей по каждой переменной мажорантой $K^*(x)$.

Einschätzungen der Gewichtsnormen der Faltungsoperatoren

Zusammenfassung: Es sind die Einschätzungen der Gewichtsnormen der Integralmittel von Steklov $f_h(x)$ und der Faltungsoperatoren erhalten. Die Resultate gründen sich auf der Einschätzung

$$|(f * K)(x)| \leq C \int_{[0, \pi]^N} K^*(h) f_{4h}(x) dh,$$

wo gerader Kern $K(x)$ besitzt auf $[0, \pi]^N$ unzunehmendem auf jedem Wechselsmajorant $K^*(x)$.

Appréciations des normes de poids des opérateurs de la convolution

Résumé: Sont obtenues les appréciations des normes de poids des moyennes de Steklov $f_h(x)$ et des opérateurs de la convolution. Les résultats sont fondés sur la relation

$$|(f * K)(x)| \leq C \int_{[0, \pi]^N} K^*(h) f_{4h}(x) dh,$$

Où le noyau pair $K(x)$ possède sur $[0, \pi]^N$ de la croissante par chaque majorant variable $K^*(x)$.

Автор: *Нахман Александр Давидович* – кандидат физико-математических наук, доцент кафедры «Прикладная математика и механика», ГОУ ВПО «ТГТУ».

Рецензент: *Коновалов Виктор Иванович* – доктор технических наук, профессор кафедры «Химическая инженерия», ГОУ ВПО «ТГТУ».
